

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE MED 2 1233 2635 05/03/2001 Mauri Salmisuo 09/831,213 7590 07/07/2003

Fay Sharpe Fagan Minnich & McKee Seventh Floor 1100 Superior Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114-2518

EXAMINER MANOHARAN, VIRGINIA ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1764 DATE MAILED: 07/07/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Applicant(s) Application No. SALMISUO, MAURI 09/831,213 Art Unit Examiner 1764

Virginia Manoharan --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment which places the application in final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in

Th Th fin	IE RI nerefo nal re ondition	EPLY FILED PAILS 10
h 3	Ex lave be	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. See MPEP ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). It is considered under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any experience of the shortened statutory period for the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
		37 CFR 1.192(a), or any over
	2.🛛	37 CFR 1.192(a), or any external stress of new matter (see Note below); (a) \(\subseteq \) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
	((a) \(\subseteq \text{ they raise new issue of new matter (see Note below);} \) (b) \(\subseteq \text{ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);} \) (c) \(\subseteq they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially rejected claims.
	,	(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better removed issues for appeal; and/or issues for appeal; and/or
		(c) ★ they are not deemed to province issues for appeal; and/or (d) ★ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
١		NOTE:
		NOTE: Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment would be allowable in a separate would be allowable.
	4.[Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
	5.[☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for research application in condition for allowance because: application in condition for allowance because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly
	6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not an analysis of the final rejection.
	7.	 ☐ The affidavit or exhibit with No 1 be a final rejection. raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
		The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
		Claim(s) allowed: none.
		Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .
		Olaim(c) rejected: 1-12.
		Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
		Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 8 The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
		9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)
	1	10.☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>

Continuation of 10. Other: Cont.of "Note" supra

The proposed amendments would provoke new objections/rejections. For Example Only: the specification fails to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter "the atmospheric gases evaporation channels are removed from the water concurrently antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter the atmospheric gases evaporation channels are removed from the with distributing the water vapor over the upper ends of the vertical." See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). The argued "falling film evaporator" is not even recited in the bodies of the independent claims e.g., 1, 2 and 6 such that they are deemed not important to applicant's invention?

7/3/63